	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/051,557	SCHLEE, DANIEL ROY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TRI V. NGUYEN	1796
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>TRI V. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Thomas Anderson (app. rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 February 2010	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	icant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: all applied		
Claims discussed: all applied		
Prior art documents discussed: all applied		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to amend claim 67 and cancel claims 48-65 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/T. V. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	(Applicant/Applicant) Bases (Applicant)	in 6:
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – 11 appropriate)